WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

		v.	
		Jason Ramone Davis	Case Number: CR-13-00215-PHX-DGC
		ace with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(acts are established: (Check one or both, as ap	1), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the oplicable.)
\boxtimes	the d	defendant is a danger to the community and re	quires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in
	this c	case.	
\boxtimes	the d	defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the	e detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.
		PART I FIND	DINGS OF FACT
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant	nt has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local
		offense that would have been a federal offe existed) that is	ense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		□ a crime of violence as defined in 18	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
		□ an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		□ an offense for which a maximum ten	rm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after th	e defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal
			42(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
		• •	•
		involves a failure to register under 1	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense de on release pending trial for a federal, state of	scribed in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was or local offense.
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of	not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of
		conviction)(release of the defendant from in	mprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a r	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of
		conditions will reasonably assure the safety that the defendant has not rebutted this pres	y of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find umption.
		Alternativ	ve Findings
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable ca	ause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
		☐ for which a maximum term of impri	sonment of ten years or more is prescribed in1
		□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or	· 2332b.
	(2) (3) (4)	offense that would have been a federal offer existed) that is □ a crime of violence as defined in 18 □ an offense for which the maximum tends an offense for which a maximum tends a felony that was committed after the offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 31 □ any felony that involves a minor violestructive device (as those terms are involves a failure to register under 1 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described on release pending trial for a federal, state of the defendant from inferior	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). sentence is life imprisonment or death. In of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal (42(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. Section or that involves the possession or use of a firearm the defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, 8 U.S.C. §2250. Secribed in finding 1 was committed while the defendant wor local offense. In other more than five years has elapsed since the (date imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1. The buttable presumption that no condition or combination by of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further findings are to believe that the defendant has committed an offense somment of ten years or more is prescribed in

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

		Case 2.13-ci-00215-DGC Document 44 Filed 04/12/10 Page 2 013	
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
		an offense involving a minor victim under section ²	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. **Alternative Findings**	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).	
\boxtimes	(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight	
		or a danger to the community.	
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)	
\boxtimes	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
		The defendant has continuing substance abuse issues that he denies by claiming that he is a social user of illicit substances and can cease using whenever he decides to do so. He is alleged to have used methamphetamine while on supervision, and to have violated conditions by failing to attend required substance abuse treatment on multiple dates, and by failing to report for substance abuse testing on multiple dates. The defendant is also alleged to have reported law enforcement contact to this probation officer and to have failed to have reported a change in his employment after he was terminated. The defendant's continued substance abuse poses a danger to him and to the community. It also poses a	
		flight risk as he is unlikely to abide by court orders.	
	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
		 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. 	
		2	

²Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 12th day of April, 2016.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge